

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 12 SAUK CITY - MIDDLETON

Enumeration Year: 1993

District: 01

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1992 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$13.3	\$2.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$15.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design was not included in original enumeration estimate
Real Estate	\$34.4	\$0.3	\$8.1	\$15.3	\$34.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Project was enumerated without EIS; hence, alignments were not set to facilitate accurate real estate estimatesReal estate property value increases significantly exceeded initial projectionsLocal Memorandum of Agreement dedicated at least \$5 million dollars for land purchase mitigation, not anticipated at enumerationOriginal estimate did not include delivery
Construction	\$56.8	\$27.2	\$42.9	\$80.9	\$84.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Jurisdictional transfer costs were not included at the time of enumeration estimate (approx \$6 million)Two Bridges in Middleton had to be reconstructed at a cost of \$2 million to make the bypass meet freeway standards.Due to poor soils in some areas, additional stone was added to stabilize the subgradeA Bike Path bridge was added at a cost of \$ 100,000A 300 foot cattle pass and an ice age trail bridge were addedOriginal estimate did not include Community Sensitive Design
Totals	\$104.6	\$30.0	\$51.0	\$96.2	\$134.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 12 LAKE DELTON - SAUK CITY

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 01

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$3.6	\$4.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$8.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included with enumeration estimateFinal Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has just recently been completedThe Final EIS Record of Decision is expected in Spring 2005
Real Estate	\$4.8	\$16.1	\$5.0	\$6.6	\$20.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Project was enumerated without EIS; hence, alignments were not set to facilitate accurate real estate estimatesReal estate property value increases significantly exceeded initial projectionsLocal Memorandum of Agreement dedicated funds for land preservation and development rights; this was not anticipated at enumerationOriginal estimate did not include delivery
Construction	\$0.0	\$78.4	\$45.0	\$59.2	\$78.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Unit bid prices were recently updated form let highway projects from the same geographic area and contract size. Revised quantities for the entire project have resulted in construction estimate increases.Increases in borrow excavation quantity due to crossing the Baraboo River and other side roads.Added costs include jurisdictional transfer of USH 12 to local road (3 million), frontage/access road costs (\$700,000), and IH 90/94 interchange work in agreement with FHWA (\$1.5 million).Original estimate did not include Community Sensitive Design
Totals	\$8.4	\$99.3	\$50.0	\$65.8	\$107.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Major Project Status Report
February, 2005

Project: STH 26 JANESVILLE - WATERTOWN

Enumeration Year: 2001

District: 01

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate				
			Estimate in 2000 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
Design	\$5.3	\$13.8	\$6.6	\$7.0	\$19.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Complexity of the project was unknown at enumeration because corridor study was not complete
Real Estate	\$3.7	\$61.2	\$18.5	\$19.7	\$64.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Since only preliminary engineering was available at enumeration, the footprint was unknownAverage major project real estate cost was used at enumerationLand value in the corridor has increased 200-300% since enumeration estimateOriginal estimate did not include delivery
Construction	\$0.0	\$181.0	\$157.4	\$167.9	\$181.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Construction costs have been revised as project design has progressed. This includes all aspects of construction and connections to the local road systemContinued value engineering efforts has reduced construction costs by more than \$15 millionOriginal estimate did not include Community Sensitive Design
Totals	\$8.9	\$256.1	\$182.5	\$194.6	\$265.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 81/STH 213 BELOIT BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1993

District: 01

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$0.1	\$0.9	\$1.0	\$1.3	\$1.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is a shared project with the state of IllinoisIllinois DOT has the lead in project development
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$1.9	\$1.4	\$1.8	\$1.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Estimates will need further refining as the project is developed by Illinois DOT
Construction	\$0.0	\$4.2	\$1.8	\$2.4	\$4.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is a preliminary estimate given that the design has not been completed by Illinois DOT
Totals	\$0.1	\$7.0	\$4.2	\$5.5	\$7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 151 DICKYVILLE - BELMONT

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 01

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$6.5	\$0.0	\$1.0	\$1.3	\$6.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Only a partial design estimate was included in the original enumeration estimateCurrent design estimate is consistent with projects of similar scope and complexity
Real Estate	\$12.3	\$0.2	\$5.7	\$7.5	\$12.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Estimate for real estate at enumeration was based upon 10% of construction estimateSince enumeration, land values have increased rapidly in the areaMore parcels have been impacted based upon final design than anticipated in the Draft EISOriginal estimate did not include delivery
Construction	\$48.5	\$28.1	\$58.3	\$76.7	\$76.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The enumeration estimate was at Draft EIS stage and did not accurately reflect the full scope of the preferred alternativeOriginal estimate did not include Community Sensitive Design
Totals	\$67.2	\$28.4	\$65.0	\$85.5	\$95.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 11 BURLINGTON BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 02

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$4.2	\$3.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$7.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$5.1	\$6.3	\$7.6	\$10.0	\$11.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimate was based on agricultural zoning; mixed zoning is now applicableCTH ‘P’ interchange addedKatterhagen Rd overpass addedSTH 36 and STH 83 interchanges addedProperty values have risen faster than inflation
Construction	\$0.1	\$96.6	\$64.1	\$84.3	\$96.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimate did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Costs required for transferring existing road to local governmentsAdditional interchanges at STH 36, STH 83, STH142, CTH ‘P’ and CTH ‘A’Added overpass at Katterhagen RoadIncrease length of 4 lane sectionIncreased earthwork required to stabilize subgrade
Totals	\$9.4	\$105.9	\$71.7	\$94.3	\$115.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 12 WHITEWATER BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1991

District: 02

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1990 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$4.4	\$1.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$6.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$7.2	\$0.0	\$1.8	\$3.5	\$7.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimate was based on agricultural zoning; higher value mixed zoning is now applicableProperty values have risen faster than inflation
Construction	\$14.3	\$9.1	\$6.2	\$12.2	\$23.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Final design increased length of project from 5.3 to 6.3 milesEnumeration estimate based upon 2-lane projectWetland mitigation costs are higher than expectedUtility costs were not included in enumeration estimateFive grade separations were added during final design
Totals	\$25.9	\$10.8	\$8.0	\$15.7	\$36.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 16 OCONOMOWOC BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1995

District: 02

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate				
			Estimate in 1994 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
Design	\$2.4	\$0.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design cost was not included with enumeration estimate
Real Estate	\$11.7	\$1.5	\$11.9	\$16.4	\$13.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Real estate estimates have been accurate
Construction	\$16.5	\$19.5	\$35.1	\$48.4	\$36.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Construction estimate increased less than inflation because<ul style="list-style-type: none">Letting process resulted in lower bidsIncreased subgrade stabilization was avoidedUnique staging of earthwork allowed for reduced costs
Totals	\$30.6	\$21.9	\$47.0	\$64.8	\$52.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 151 WAUPUN - FON DU LAC

Enumeration Year: 1989

District: 02

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate				
			Estimate in 1989 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
Design	\$9.4	\$0.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$9.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$11.8	\$1.9	\$5.5	\$10.7	\$13.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimate was based on agricultural zoning; higher value mixed zoning is now applicableProperty values have risen faster than inflation
Construction	\$47.6	\$47.9	\$38.2	\$74.1	\$95.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Construction costs have increased due to:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Grade separation at CTH ‘Y’Requirement of additional subgrade stabilizationIncreased earthwork costs due to weather and poor soilsProject length increased as a result of the environmental study process
Totals	\$68.8	\$50.1	\$43.7	\$84.8	\$118.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 151 FOND DU LAC BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1993

District: 02

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1992 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$3.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$5.6	\$0.7	\$5.5	\$10.4	\$6.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Real estate estimates have been accurate
Construction	\$21.9	\$14.0	\$31.5	\$59.4	\$35.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Reasons for construction cost increases include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Grade separation at Fox Valley Railroad crossingAdditional lanes between interchangesIncreased subgrade stabilizationAddition of ramp to USH 45 and USH 151 interchangeAddition of multi-use recreation trail
Totals	\$30.7	\$14.6	\$37.0	\$69.8	\$45.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 10 STH 110 - USH 45

Enumeration Year: 1989

District: 03/04

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1989 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$4.4	\$1.2	\$2.7	\$5.2	\$5.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processThere was no estimate at the time of enumerationThe original design cost shown was calculated as a percentage of the construction estimateCurrent design estimate is similar to other projects of similar size and complexity
Real Estate	\$9.1	\$0.0	\$3.2	\$6.2	\$9.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processThere was no estimate at the time of enumerationOriginal estimates in the base year were derived from the first real estate estimate in 1995The increase in real estate cost can be attributed to:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Scope change from expressway to freeway (requires more land)Land values increasing significantly due to development along the corridor
Construction	\$80.1	\$2.4	\$38.0	\$73.8	\$82.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processPrimary reasons for cost increases:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Scope change from expressway to freeway (e.g. necessitated the addition of bridges and interchanges)Jurisdictional transfer costs were not in original estimate. 16 miles of JT is now includedConstruction cost increased due to compressing the construction schedule for environmental mitigation reasons
Totals	\$93.6	\$3.6	\$43.9	\$85.2	\$97.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 23 STH 67 - USH 41

Enumeration Year: 1999

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1999 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$0.5	\$11.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$11.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processThere was no estimate at the time of enumerationThe first estimate developed did not include designCurrent design costs are similar to other projects of similar size and complexity
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$15.1	\$6.1	\$7.3	\$15.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget not through the TPC processThe original estimate was preliminary and could not adequately anticipate:<ul style="list-style-type: none">CTH K intersection improvementsWetland mitigationParcel acquisition costsDesign standard changes requiring wider medians at major intersectionsMitigation at Old Plank Trail extensionDelivery costs are included with the current estimate
Construction	\$0.0	\$68.9	\$33.4	\$40.0	\$68.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processSince no engineering was done at the time of the original estimate it did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Costs for utilitiesJurisdictional transfersRequired environmental wet-land mitigationConstruction deliveryThe environmental process is not yet complete; therefore, additional changes should be expected
Totals	\$0.5	\$95.1	\$39.5	\$47.3	\$95.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 41 OCONTO-PESHTIGO

Enumeration Year: 1999

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1999 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$1.8	\$5.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$7.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processDesign was not included in the original estimate
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$18.0	\$11.5	\$13.8	\$18.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processOriginal estimates were done at a very preliminary design stageEstimates now include relocation, wetland mitigation, and higher land values
Construction	\$0.0	\$117.6	\$80.0	\$95.8	\$117.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processSince minimal engineering was done at the time of the original estimate, it did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Costs for utilitiesJurisdictional transfersRequired environmental wet-land mitigationConstruction delivery
Totals	\$1.8	\$141.3	\$91.5	\$109.6	\$143.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 41 STH 26 - BREEZEWOOD LANE

Enumeration Year: 2003

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate				
			Estimate in 2002 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
Design	\$2.0	\$25.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$27.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processDesign costs were not included in the original estimateCurrent design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$35.1	\$20.5	\$22.7	\$35.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processOriginal estimates assumed \$30,000 per acreDue to rapidly rising real estate prices along the corridor, current estimates are based on \$260,000 per acre
Construction	\$0.0	\$229.5	\$204.5	\$226.7	\$229.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processThe original estimate did not include an estimate for:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Jurisdictional transfer of existing highways to local governments (\$10 million)Community sensitive design (\$6.1 mil)Special law enforcement contracts to increase safety patrols during construction (\$1.2 mil)New operations requirements (\$1.1 mil)Construction delivery
Totals	\$2.0	\$290.2	\$225.0	\$249.4	\$292.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 41 DEPERE - SUAMICO

Enumeration Year: 2003

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 2002 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$2.2	\$30.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$32.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processDesign was not included in the original estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$23.7	\$14.8	\$16.4	\$23.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processReal estate costs have been increasing faster than inflation in the corridorCurrent estimates include higher land costs
Construction	\$0.0	\$308.5	\$190.2	\$210.9	\$308.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processCost increases above the original estimate include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Continued refinement of design (\$67 mil)Jurisdictional transfer of existing highways (\$13 mil)Community sensitive design (\$10 mil)Special law enforcement contract to increase safety during construction (\$1.8 mil)Construction engineering (\$26.3 mil)
Totals	\$2.2	\$362.5	\$205.0	\$227.3	\$364.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 57 DYCKESVILLE - STURGEON BAY

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$5.5	\$3.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$9.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$9.5	\$10.7	\$6.4	\$8.4	\$20.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimates did not include a Dyckesville bypass; additional real estate is required for the bypassLand required for wetland mitigation was not included in the enumeration estimateA new housing development was started since enumeration causing a shift in alignment requiring additional landLand values have increased more than statewide averages
Construction	\$3.0	\$64.5	\$36.5	\$48.0	\$67.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The estimate developed at the time of enumeration did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">The bypass of Dyckesville (\$17.0 mil)An interchange south of Dyckesville (\$4.0 mil)Alignment shift and frontage road (\$6.7 mil)Environmental mitigation (\$0.5 mil)Relocation of utilitiesConstruction delivery
Totals	\$18.0	\$78.7	\$42.9	\$56.4	\$96.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 45 (STH 110 PROJECT) USH 41 - STH116

Enumeration Year: 1991

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1990 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$1.3	\$1.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$2.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The original estimate did not include design cost
Real Estate	\$7.8	\$0.2	\$2.4	\$4.7	\$8.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Real estate costs have increased significantly due to development in this area of the Fox Valley
Construction	\$31.4	\$0.6	\$10.1	\$19.9	\$32.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Construction cost increases are primarily due to the following<ul style="list-style-type: none">Jurisdictional transfer costs added to current estimateSeveral grade separations added (bridges)Interchange additionFrontage road additionsOther factors associated with a scope change to accommodate freeway convertibility
Totals	\$40.5	\$2.2	\$12.5	\$24.6	\$42.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 141 STH 22 - STH 64

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 03

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate				
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
									Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$3.2	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design was not included in the enumeration estimateThe current design estimate is similar to other projects of this type and complexity
Real Estate	\$7.3	\$1.9	\$4.3	\$5.7	\$9.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The enumeration estimate used an average cost per miles from similar projects in the areaAdditional real estate will be required because of local government requests for several additional interchanges during the design processOther factors increasing the estimate for real estate include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Delivery costs were includedSubstantial increases in land valueIncrease relocation and severance costsWetland mitigation
Construction	\$11.5	\$40.5	\$36.0	\$47.3	\$52.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Local concerns during the design process resulted in two interchanges and two overpasses being added to the projectEnumeration estimate did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Utility costsJurisdictional transfer of existing highway to local governmentsWet-land mitigationConstruction engineering
Totals	\$22.1	\$42.8	\$40.3	\$53.0	\$64.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 10 MARSHFIELD - STEVENS POINT

Enumeration Year: 1989

District: 04

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1998 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$3.6	\$12.3	\$13.6	\$15.5	\$15.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in 1989 as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processThere was no design estimate at the time of enumeration; the original design estimate shown is in 1998 dollars
Real Estate	\$0.9	\$14.6	\$19.7	\$22.5	\$15.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in 1989 as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processThere was no real estate estimate at the time of enumeration; the original real estate estimate shown is in 1998 dollarsThe original estimate listed was calculated on a basic relocation and acreage basisCurrent estimate is based upon a more detailed analysis and refined alignment
Construction	\$0.0	\$160.6	\$135.7	\$154.7	\$160.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in 1989 as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processSince no engineering was done at the time of the original estimate it did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Utility relocationCommunity sensitive designJurisdictional transfersRequired environmental mitigationConstruction deliveryThe original estimate did not include additional cost required to lengthen the bridges over the Wisconsin River as required by the DNR and Army Corps of EngineersThe preferred alternative increased the amount of off-alignment construction, thus increasing costs.
Totals	\$4.5	\$187.5	\$169.0	\$192.7	\$192.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 10 STEVENS POINT - WAUPACA

Enumeration Year: 1989

District: 04

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1998 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$2.6	\$0.6	\$5.5	\$6.3	\$3.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processThere was no design estimate at the time of enumeration; the original design estimate listed is in 1998 dollars
Real Estate	\$10.1	\$1.9	\$6.6	\$7.5	\$12.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated as part of the USH 10 corridor from Appleton to Marshfield in the Budget - not through the TPC processThere was no real estate estimate at the time of enumeration; the original real estate estimate listed is in 1998 dollarsThis project is nearing completion, therefore most real estate has been purchasedReal estate cost increases were higher than inflation
Construction	\$47.1	\$20.5	\$54.0	\$61.5	\$67.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated as part of the USH 10 corridor in the Budget - not through the TPCAccess control was increased since original estimateFrontage roads were added to meet expressway standards for access controlSince no engineering was done at the time of the original estimate it did not include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Utility relocationCommunity sensitive designJurisdictional transfersRequired environmental mitigationConstruction delivery
Totals	\$59.8	\$23.0	\$66.1	\$75.3	\$82.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: I39/USH 51 WAUSAU CORRIDOR

Enumeration Year: 2001

District: 04

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 2000 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$16.2	\$7.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$23.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included as part of the enumeration estimateChanges in design resulted from local Federal earmark funding adding to the scope of the projectFederal ITS earmark funding caused redesign
Real Estate	\$13.8	\$46.3	\$10.5	\$11.2	\$60.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Since the enumeration estimate was developed real estate values have increased in the corridor more than expectedRe-evaluation of assessed land values and impacted parcels subsequent to the enumeration estimate result in increased real estate costs (\$17.5mil)More than \$30 million in additional real estate was required then the STH 29 west interchange scope was changed from 40 mph to 60 mph design speed\$13 million in the current estimate is associated with local earmarks and/or local participation
Construction	\$28.1	\$137.5	\$110.0	\$117.4	\$165.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Federal demonstration and earmark funding used on the local road system has been managed through this project (nearly \$30 mil is associated with construction)Municipal utility relocations were added (\$1 mil); 90% of these funds are local fundingFederal ITS earmark funding increased the cost by \$3 mil
Totals	\$58.1	\$191.0	\$120.5	\$128.6	\$249.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 14 VIROQUA - WESTBY

Enumeration Year: 2003

District: 05

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 2002 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$0.9	\$1.5	\$2.3	\$2.5	\$2.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processDesign costs have remained nearly constant
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$8.3	\$8.4	\$9.3	\$8.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processReal estate costs have remained within budget
Construction	\$0.0	\$32.6	\$30.3	\$33.6	\$32.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processMinimal changes have occurred:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Current estimate includes Community Sensitive DesignCurrent estimate includes construction delivery
Totals	\$0.9	\$42.4	\$41.0	\$45.4	\$43.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 18 PRAIRIE DU CHIEN - STH 60

Enumeration Year: 2003

District: 05

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 2002 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$1.3	\$1.8	\$3.0	\$3.3	\$3.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processDesign costs have remained nearly constant
Real Estate	\$0.2	\$1.7	\$2.8	\$3.1	\$1.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processReal estate costs have been difficult to determine because of potential historic and archeological features in areaLand values continue to change rapidly as the local real estate market changes
Construction	\$0.0	\$18.9	\$23.4	\$26.0	\$18.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project was enumerated in the Budget, not through the TPC processUtility relocation could become a significant cost and may have been under estimatedThe project is near the Mississippi River flood plane therefore final design and negotiations with DNR and Corps of Engineers could increase costsEstimate is down from original estimate due to portions of the project not meeting statutory requirements to be funded with Major Highway Program dollars.
Totals	\$1.5	\$22.4	\$29.2	\$32.4	\$23.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 53 LACROSSE CORRIDOR

Enumeration Year: 1997

District: 05

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1996 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
Design	\$0.0	\$6.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$6.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design costs were not included with the original estimate at enumeration
Real Estate	\$0.0	\$11.0	\$5.2	\$6.8	\$11.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimate did not include real estate cost for a natural gas line relocation and power line relocationReal estate costs in the corridor have increased significantly since enumeration, far outpacing inflation.
Construction	\$0.0	\$87.1	\$61.9	\$81.4	\$87.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Locally funded elements have added \$7 million to the cost of the projectTraffic growth since enumeration has been very high, resulting in some intersections being changed to interchangesCommunity sensitive design elements were not included in the enumeration estimate (\$4.2 mil)
Totals	\$0.0	\$104.8	\$67.1	\$88.2	\$104.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 29 IH 94 - CHIPPEWA FALLS

Enumeration Year: 1991

District: 06

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1990 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$14.1	\$0.0	\$3.0	\$5.9	\$14.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project is made up of two independent enumerations - the Chippewa Falls Bypass was enumerated in 1989, and the connection between the bypass and IH 94 was enumerated in 1991The Final EIS was substantially different than the Draft EIS and design concepts that were the foundation of the enumeration estimates; this has resulted in increased design cost
Real Estate	\$14.5	\$0.1	\$7.1	\$14.0	\$14.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project is made up of two independent enumerations - the Chippewa Falls Bypass was enumerated in 1989, and the connection between the bypass and IH 94 was enumerated in 1991Real estate values have increase and average 7-8 percent since enumerationThe Final EIS preferred alternative was much different from the Draft EIS used for the enumeration estimates - difference in real estate needed to construct the project vs. the enumeration estimate include:<ul style="list-style-type: none">22 acres of additional land for right-of-way9 additional residential relocations were required4 additional commercial relocations were required4 interchanges were added in the final design process4 interchanges were added in the final design process
Construction	\$92.4	\$26.5	\$53.0	\$104.3	\$118.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This project is made up of two independent enumerations - the Chippewa Falls Bypass was enumerated in 1989, and the connection between the bypass and IH 94 was enumerated in 1991The Final EIS preferred alternative was much different from the Draft EIS used for the enumeration estimates:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Current estimate includes 4 interchanges not anticipated at the time of enumerationConstruction delivery is included in the current estimate; this was not included with the enumeration estimates
Totals	\$121.1	\$26.5	\$63.1	\$124.2	\$147.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: USH 53 EAU CLAIRE BYPASS

Enumeration Year: 1995

District: 06

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		Reason for Change in Cost Estimate
			Original Estimate		Currrent Estimate		Cost (Millions)	Percent	
			Estimate in 1994 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005			
Design	\$5.7	\$2.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$7.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design was not included in original enumeration estimate
Real Estate	\$20.4	\$3.0	\$7.0	\$9.6	\$23.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Real estate values have increased significantly in this area, since enumerationAcreage required for additional interchange is included in the current estimate
Construction	\$44.3	\$98.4	\$72.0	\$99.2	\$142.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Changes in the project scope were made to connect this project with STH 93An additional interchange was require because of the connection to STH 93Jurisdictional transfer costs (\$27.8 mil) were not included in the enumeration estimateReconstruction of the existing USH 53 / USH 12 interchange was added to the projectConstruction delivery is added to the current estimateLocal government share (\$1.2 mil) is included in the current estimate
Totals	\$70.4	\$103.5	\$79.0	\$108.8	\$173.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**Major Project Status Report
February, 2005**

Project: STH 64 HOULTON - NEW RICHMOND

Enumeration Year: 1993

District: 06

Current Status			TOTAL PROJECT COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION (Original and Current Estimates)						
Cost Category	Cost to Date (Millions)	Estimated Cost to Complete (Millions)	Project Cost Estimate (Millions)				Change Since Last Report		
			Original Estimate		Current Estimate				
			Estimate in 1992 Dollars	Estimate in 2005 Dollars	Feb. 2005	Aug. 2005	Cost (Millions)	Percent	
Reason for Change in Cost Estimate									
Design	\$3.1	\$0.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$3.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Design was not included in original enumeration estimate
Real Estate	\$18.1	\$1.1	\$7.0	\$13.2	\$19.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Real estate values have increased in this area more than other parts of the state because of the proximity to the Twin Cities metro area (8% to 10% annual growth in western St. Croix County)Acreage required for additional interchanges and storm water retention ponds increased real estate costsHigher than anticipated traffic growth necessitated additional interchanges
Construction	\$22.8	\$67.8	\$42.0	\$79.2	\$90.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Enumeration estimates were based upon per mile averages with minimal supporting design workGrowth in traffic because of the population growth in St. Croix County required the addition of interchanges in the place of less safe at-grade intersectionsDiscovery of extreme marsh depths led to the addition of 2 structuresEnumeration estimates did not include costs for the following:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Jurisdictional transfers (\$4.5 mil)Construction deliveryLocal share (\$3.0 mil to be paid by City of New Richmond)
Totals	\$44.0	\$69.2	\$49.0	\$92.4	\$113.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	